A Case of Pancreatic Undifferentiated Carcinoma Mimicking Proximal-Type Epithelioid Sarcoma
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ABSTRACT

We herein report a case of pancreatic undifferentiated/anaplastic carcinoma pathologically mimicking proximal-type epithelioid sarcoma. The patient was a 35-year-old female who complained of epigastralgia and back pain and presented with tarry stools and weight loss. A growing, hemorrhagic pancreatic mass more than 6 cm in diameter and multiple liver masses were revealed on abdomen images. A liver biopsy showed malignant cells and chemotherapy using TS-1 was performed, however, the patient accumulated a large amount of ascites by diffuse peritoneal dissemination and died after seven months. An autopsy demonstrated the manifestation of a large whitish, expansive-infiltrative mass with severe hemorrhage, measuring 18 x 13 cm, seated primarily in the head of the pancreas. Microscopically, the tumor showed a medullary growth consisted of pleomorphic spindle to epithelioid cells, which were loosely cohesive and included rhabdoid morphology. The glandular component, suggestive of ductal adenocarcinoma, could not be found even with extensive sampling. Immunohistochemical studies showed a diffuse positivity of cytokeratin (AE1/AE3), epithelial membrane antigen, vimentin, and CD34 and a negativity of specific differentiation markers. In addition, a loss of SMARCB1/INI-1 protein expression was observed, although its alterations were not confirmed at the deoxyribonucleic acid level. No KRAS mutations were detected. The tumor was considered as pancreatic undifferentiated/anaplastic carcinoma from the similarity to “monomorphic anaplastic subtype of pancreatic undifferentiated rhabdoid carcinoma” recently proposed by Agaimy A et al. However, its histological, immunohistological and molecular characters were completely identical to those of PES, thus the clinical treatment and care for proximal-type epithelioid sarcoma may be recommended rather than those for undifferentiated/anaplastic carcinoma as a subtype of ductal adenocarcinoma.

INTRODUCTION

Undifferentiated/anaplastic carcinoma (UAC) of the pancreas is a rare neoplasm that accounts for 2-7% of pancreatic neoplasms [1]. The tumor histologically shows round giant cells, pleomorphic cells, spindle cells, or even rhabdoid cells [2] and commonly contains small foci of atypical glandular elements; this glandular component is identical to that of ductal adenocarcinoma (DAC), thus indicating pancreatic UAC to be a subtype of ordinary DAC of the pancreas. If pancreatic UAC lacks the associated DAC component, it may be indistinguishable from a sarcoma.

Sarcomas of the pancreas are extremely rare, accounting for less than 0.1% of all pancreatic malignancies [3]. The literature reveals occasional examples such as leiomyosarcoma, liposarcoma, primitive neuroectodermal tumor, desmoplastic small round cell tumor, sclerosing epithelioid fibrosarcoma, malignant fibrous histiocytoma/undifferentiated pleomorphic sarcoma, fibrosarcoma, follicular dendritic cell sarcoma, and malignant peripheral nerve sheath tumor [4, 5]. In addition, some of these tumors may actually arise from adjacent tissues such as the retroperitoneum or the duodenal wall and secondarily involve the pancreas.

Classical epithelioid sarcoma (ES), first described by Franz Enzinger in 1970 [6], is an uncommon malignant neoplasm of soft tissue with an unknown lineage and typically presents as a subcutaneous or deep dermal mass in “distal” portions of the extremities of adolescents or young adults. The histological findings are characterized by nodules of spindle and epithelioid tumor cells circumscribing hyalinization or necrosis (granuloma-like pattern). Patients often develop multiple local recurrences, with subsequent metastases in approximately 30 to 50% of cases over a long duration of time (5 to 10 years) [7].

The “proximal-type” ES (PES) was first reported in 1997 by Guillou et al. [8] as a subtype of ES occurring as a deep-seated soft tissue mass at proximal body sites.
The proximal extremities, limb girdles, chest wall, trunk, back, pelvis, genital tract, vulva and perineum have been reported as distinct locations for PES. PES is histologically characterized by a solid growth pattern of larger cells with prominent nucleoli or pleomorphic rhabdoid cells, in addition to the conventional histological appearance of classical “distal-type” ES (DES) described above. PES is more aggressive than DES, and approximately 65% of all cases develop local recurrence and 75% have metastases within a short duration of time (several months to years) [9].

Despite the differences in the clinical presentation and histological features, DES and PES share a similar immunophenotypic profile: a co-expression of epithelial markers, cytokeratin and epithelial membrane antigen (EMA), and a mesenchymal marker, vimentin, and a frequent expression of CD34, which provide a strong contribution for the diagnosis of DES or PES [10], accompanying the lack of significant expression of specific differentiation markers such as myogenic, angiogenic, or neurogenic markers (e.g., desmin, S100 protein). The loss of SMARCB1/INI1 protein expression, which is frequently and specifically associated with PES or DES as well as malignant rhabdoid tumors [11-13], may strongly assist the diagnosis.

The pancreas is an unusual site for PES. When encountered a tumor similar to PES it may be diagnosed as pancreatic UAC or pancreatic undifferentiated rhabdoid carcinoma [2, 14]. Therefore there have thus far been no reports of PES arising in or involving the pancreas. We here discussed the differential diagnosis of pancreatic UAC vs. PES through the present case showing specific histological and immunohistochemical characteristics of PES.

CASE REPORT

The patient was a 35-year-old female who complained of epigastralgia and back pain and presented with tarry stools and a weight loss of 4 kg per month. No jaundice was observed. A growing tumor measuring more than 6 cm in diameter was detected in the pancreatic head on several abdominal images, with invasion into the surrounding large blood vessels and metastases to the surrounding lymph nodes and the liver. Computed tomography showed that the tumor contained a massive hemorrhage, corresponding to a heterogeneous signal area by magnetic resonance imaging (Figure 1). On upper endoscopy, bleeding from the duodenal papilla of Vater was noticed. The main pancreatic duct (MPD) and the common bile duct (CBD) were pressed by the large tumor, however, the upstream dilatation was not prominent. Positron emission tomography showed significant tumorous signals only in the pancreas and the liver, not in other sites including the extremities. The serum neuron-specific enolase (NSE) level was mildly elevated (15.3 ng/ml), however, carcinoembryonic antigen (CEA) and carbohydrate antigen 19-9 (CA19-9) were within the normal limits. An exploratory laparotomy liver biopsy revealed malignant cells. Chemotherapy using TS-1 (80 mg per day by internal use) was performed, however, it was unsuccessful. A large amount of ascites merged by diffuse peritoneal dissemination and the patient died within seven months after the onset of symptoms. An autopsy was subsequently performed.

Pathological Findings

Microscopic Findings of the Liver Biopsy: A diffuse infiltration of epithelioid and spindle-shaped cells with abundant eosinophilic cytoplasm was seen in the liver biopsy (Figure 2). The nuclei were round to spindle-shaped and showed moderate pleomorphism, and some of them were grooved. Mitotic figures were scattered at 5 per 10 high-power fields. Lymphocytic inflammatory cells infiltrated between the tumor cells. Ultrastructurally,
the tumor cells exhibited aggregates of intermediate filaments, desmosome-like cell junctions, and small intercellular spaces surrounded by filipodia. (Figure 3).

No definite findings suggestive of specific differentiation were observed.

### Autopsy Findings

A large, whitish mass with severe hemorrhage and necrosis, mainly located in the head portion of the pancreas, involved the whole pancreas. The mass measured 18x13 cm in size. Microscopically, the tumor showed medullary growth with hemorrhage and edema and consisted of pleomorphic spindle to epithelioid cells, which were loosely cohesive and included rhabdoid morphology (Figures 4, 5), appearing to be more pleomorphic than those observed in the liver biopsy. They infiltrated diffusely or in lobules separated by fibrous septa. Approximately half of the cells were spindle while the other half was epithelioid cells and they merged imperceptibly. Mitotic figures were scattered at 5 per 10 high-power fields. The glandular component, suggestive of ductal adenocarcinoma, could not be detected by total sampling. The tumor cells invaded the second portion of the duodenum and the portal vein, metastasized to the peripancreatic and mesenteric lymph nodes, the liver, and the lumbar vertebrae, and disseminated widely to the peritoneum. In bone metastases, spindle-shaped cells arranged in a storiform or fascicular growth pattern were predominantly observed.

### Immunohistochemical and Molecular Analyses

Immunohistochemical staining was performed using the antibodies listed in Table 1 and each antigen localization was detected using peroxidase labeled amino acid-polymer (Histofine Simple Stain MAX-PO (M), Nichirei, Tokyo, Japan). The tumor cells were diffusely positive for cytokeratin (AE1/AE3), cytokeratin 19, EMA, vimentin, CD34 (Figure 6), NSE, and β-catenin, and were negative for cytokeratin 7, cytokeratin 20, S100 protein, CD99 (MIC2), c-kit, desmin, α-smooth muscle actin (αSMA), myoglobin, CD31, factor-VIII, calretinin, mesothelial cell (HBME-1), chromogranin A, synaptophysin, CD56, CA125, leukocyte common antigen (LCA) and trypsin (Table 1). In addition, a loss of SMARC1/INI1 protein expression (using BAF47, an antibody to the SMARC1/INI-1 gene product (clone 25; BD Transduction Laboratories, San Diego, CA)) was found (Figure 7). On the other hand, no alterations (homozygous deletion and mutation) at the DNA level sufficient to suppress the expression of SMARC1/INI1 gene products were recognized by real-time polymerase chain reaction and direct sequencing. The detailed methods of SMARC1/INI1 detection are described elsewhere [12]. In addition, mutations in codons 12 and 13 of the KRAS gene were not seen by direct sequencing performed by a clinical testing company (SRL, Tokyo, Japan). As for these immunohistochemical and molecular results, there were no differences between the liver biopsy specimen and autopsy specimen of the primary pancreatic mass.

### DISCUSSION

Regarding the histologic type of the present tumor, PES was considered primarily from the sarcomatoid solid growth histology which consisted of epithelioid, spindle or rhabdoid cells and immunohistochemical positivity for cytokeratin, EMA, vimentin, and CD34, although its occurrence in the pancreas is exceedingly unusual. The immunoreactivity for NSE and β-catenin found in this tumor has been previously described in PES [9, 15]. The ultrastructural findings were also consistent
Indeed pancreatic UAC also commonly demonstrates co-expression of cytokeratin/EMA and vimentin and occasionally exhibits rhabdoid features, but there were several reasons suggestive of PES rather than UAC as follows:

i. the patient was young in her 30s. The mean age at diagnosis is 40 years for PES [8] vs. 63 years for UAC [17]; ii. the ductal adenocarcinoma (DAC) component, which is typically observed in pancreatic UAC, could not be detected in the pancreatic tumor even with extensive sampling, although with those of PES in previous reports [16]. In addition, immunohistochemical negativity of various specific differentiation markers including myogenic, angiogenic, neurogenic/neuroendocrine and mesothelial markers (e.g., desmin, s100 protein, CD31, calretinin), LCA, and CD99 (MIC2) excluded other epithelioid or rhabdoid neoplasms often confused with PES, such as synovial sarcoma, malignant mesothelioma, malignant melanoma, epithelioid angiosarcoma, epithelioid hemangiendothelioma, epithelioid malignant peripheral nerve sheath tumor, and extrarenal malignant rhabdoid tumor.

Table 1. Immunohistochemical study.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Antigen</th>
<th>Clone/Source</th>
<th>Dilution</th>
<th>Results</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Cytokeratin (AE1/AE3)</td>
<td>AE1/AE3 DAKO, Glostrup, Denmark</td>
<td>1:200</td>
<td>++</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cytokeratin 19</td>
<td>b170 Novocastra, Newcastle, UK</td>
<td>1:100</td>
<td>++</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EMA</td>
<td>E29 DAKO, Glostrup, Denmark</td>
<td>1:100</td>
<td>++</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cytokeratin 7</td>
<td>OVTL 12/30 DAKO, Glostrup, Denmark</td>
<td>1:100</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cytokeratin 20</td>
<td>k20.8 DAKO, Glostrup, Denmark</td>
<td>1:50</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vimentin</td>
<td>V9 DAKO, Glostrup, Denmark</td>
<td>1:400</td>
<td>++</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CD34</td>
<td>QBEnd10 DAKO, Glostrup, Denmark</td>
<td>1:500</td>
<td>++</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NSE</td>
<td>BBS/NC/V1-H14 DAKO, Glostrup, Denmark</td>
<td>1:100</td>
<td>++</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>β-catenin</td>
<td>14 BD Transduction Laboratories, San Jose, CA</td>
<td>1:1000</td>
<td>++</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S100</td>
<td>rabbit polyclonal DAKO, Glostrup, Denmark</td>
<td>1:5000</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MIC2 (CD99)</td>
<td>12E7 DAKO, Glostrup, Denmark</td>
<td>1:100</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>c-kit</td>
<td>rabbit polyclonal IBL Co Ltd, Takasaki, Japan</td>
<td>1:100</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Desmin</td>
<td>D33 DAKO, Glostrup, Denmark</td>
<td>1:100</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>αSMA</td>
<td>1A4 DAKO, Glostrup, Denmark</td>
<td>1:200</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Myoglobin</td>
<td>rabbit polyclonal DAKO, Glostrup, Denmark</td>
<td>1:1000</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CD31</td>
<td>JC70A DAKO, Glostrup, Denmark</td>
<td>1:100</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FactorkIIB</td>
<td>F8/86 DAKO, Glostrup, Denmark</td>
<td>1:100</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Calretinin</td>
<td>rabbit polyclonal Zymed Laboratories, San Francisco, CA</td>
<td>1:10</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mesothelial cell</td>
<td>HBME-1 DAKO, Glostrup, Denmark</td>
<td>1:100</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chromogranin A</td>
<td>rabbit polyclonal DAKO, Glostrup, Denmark</td>
<td>1:2000</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Synaptophysin</td>
<td>SY38 DAKO, Glostrup, Denmark</td>
<td>1:125</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CD56</td>
<td>1B6 Novocastra, Newcastle, UK</td>
<td>1:100</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CA125</td>
<td>M11 DAKO, Glostrup, Denmark</td>
<td>1:50</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LCA</td>
<td>2B11 + PD7/26 DAKO, Glostrup, Denmark</td>
<td>1:100</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trypsin</td>
<td>Trypsin Chemicon, Temecula, CA</td>
<td>1:2000</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

EMA epithelial membrane antigen; NSE neuron-specific enolase; αSMA α-smooth muscle actin; LCA leucocyte common antigen

- negative; + partial positive; ++ diffuse positive.

with those of PES in previous reports [16]. In addition, immunohistochemical negativity of various specific differentiation markers including myogenic, angiogenic, neurogenic/neuroendocrine and mesothelial markers (e.g., desmin, s100 protein, CD31, calretinin), LCA, and CD99 (MIC2) excluded other epithelioid or rhabdoid neoplasms often confused with PES, such as synovial sarcoma, malignant mesothelioma, malignant melanoma, epithelioid angiosarcoma, epithelioid hemangiendothelioma, epithelioid malignant peripheral nerve sheath tumor, and extrarenal malignant rhabdoid tumor.

Indeed pancreatic UAC also commonly demonstrates co-expression of cytokeratin/EMA and vimentin and occasionally exhibits rhabdoid features, but there were several reasons suggestive of PES rather than UAC as follows: i. the patient was young in her 30s. The mean age at diagnosis is 40 years for PES [8] vs. 63 years for UAC [17]; ii. the ductal adenocarcinoma (DAC) component, which is typically observed in pancreatic UAC, could not be detected in the pancreatic tumor even with extensive sampling, although
the pre-existing DAC component may have been eradicated by the massive invasion of sarcomatoid cells transformed from DAC cells in the early stage or this tumor may have originated from epithelial precursors but occurred as a sarcomatoid tumor without an apparent DAC component from the beginning; iii. the immunohistochemical expression of cytokeratin 7, a pancreatic ductal duct marker which is in patches but strongly immunostained in UAC, was not seen in the present tumor; iv. a KRAS mutation was not detected in this tumor, while most UACs harbor KRAS mutations, supporting the hypothesis that UACs arise from ductal adenocarcinoma [17]; v. the immunohistochemical expression of CD34 as well as the co-expression of cytokeratin/EMA and vimentin, which contributes strongly to the diagnosis of PES, was readily demonstrated. No reports have focused on the CD34 expression in pancreatic UACs. Moreover, none of 10 pancreatic UACs in our institutes showed CD34 expression (data not shown), however, further studies are needed to address the expression of this marker, because several reports of sarcomatoid carcinomas have demonstrated CD34 expression in other organs [18]; and vi. the loss of SMARCB1/INI1 protein expression, which has been reported to be a frequent, specific event in PES [11-13], was demonstrated in the present tumor, while none of the 5 pancreatic UACs in our institutes showed the loss of SMARCB1/INI1 protein expression (data not shown). Our molecular study showed no alterations (homozygous deletion and mutation) at the DNA level, however, this is not surprising because previous studies with SMARCB1/INI1 negative tumors by immunohistochemistry showed that the percentage of cases with homozygous SMARCB1/INI1 deletions ranged from 5–71% [12, 13, 19]. The evaluation of the SMARCB1/INI1 status using another method (e.g., Sanger sequencing of the coding region and multiplex ligation-dependent probe amplification, a rapid and sensitive method for detecting intragenic deletions and duplications) may solve the conflicting results [20].

Nevertheless, there are several reports suggesting a difficulty in distinguishing PES from UAC, even if the loss of this protein or its gene alteration is confirmed. Cheng et al. showed the loss of SMARCB1/INI1 expression in renal medullary carcinoma with rhabdoid features [21]. Donner et al. reported the loss of SMARCB1/INI1 expression and its gene alteration in uterine carcinosarcomas with rhabdoid components [22]. Moreover, Cho et al. reported a SMARCB1/INI1 missense mutation in mucinous carcinoma of the pancreas accompanying poorly differentiated carcinoma with rhabdoid features [23]. Thus, pancreatic UACs with rhabdoid features may also have abnormalities of SMARCB1/INI1, and this may indicate that the present tumor is a special type of UAC.

Recently Agaimy A et al. studied 14 cases of pancreatic undifferentiated carcinomas with prominent rhabdoid cells and coexpression of cytokeratin and vimentin and proposed two subtypes characterized by KRAS alterations and SMARCB1/INI1 expression status: pleomorphic giant cell subtype with KRAS alterations and intact SMARCB1/INI1 expression and monomorphic anaplastic subtypes with absence of KRAS alterations and loss of SMARCB1/INI1 expression [2, 14]. Although their monomorphic anaplastic subtype as well as pleomorphic giant cell subtype did not express CD34, the present case appears to correspond to the monomorphic anaplastic subtype.

Nonetheless, its histological, immunohistological and molecular characters were completely identical to those of PES, thus the clinical treatment and care for PES as a sarcoma may be recommended rather than those for UAC as a subtype of ductal adenocarcinoma. Further accumulation of similar cases of pancreatic tumor will lead to the adequate diagnosis and may clarify the distinctions between a rhabdoid variant of pancreatic UAC and true pancreatic PES.

In conclusion, we herein described an autopsy case of a fatal metastasizing pancreatic sarcomatoid tumor, closely mimicking PES, characterized by epithelioid and rhabdoid histology, co-expression of cytokeratin/EMA and vimentin, CD34 positivity, no DAC component, CK7 negativity, lack of a KRAS mutation and the loss of SMARCB1/INI1 protein expression. The tumor may be classified as pancreatic UAC, but distinctive treatment strategies will be required.
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