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**ABSTRACT**

Context Pancreatic ductal adenocarcinoma is the seventh most frequent cause of cancer worldwide. Around 50% of the patients with pancreatic ductal adenocarcinoma have metastases synchronous to the diagnosis. The most common metastatic location of this tumor is the liver, the peritoneum and the lungs. Pancreatic ductal adenocarcinoma-related bladder metastases are very infrequent and may mimic primary urothelial malignancies. Case report We report the case of a pancreatic bladder metastasis fortuitously discovered two years after the diagnosis of a pancreatic adenocarcinoma. We reviewed also all the cases described in the literature. Conclusion Bladder metastases are very rare and could be wrongly considered as urothelial carcinoma. It must be suspected in patients with pancreatic ductal carcinoma and unique bladder tumor.

**INTRODUCTION**

Pancreatic ductal adenocarcinoma (PDAC) is the seventh most frequent cause of cancer worldwide and will become the second most common cause of cancer-related death in 2030 [1]. Its prognosis is very poor with 5-year survival rate around 5% for all stages together, especially because it is usually diagnosed at a late stage when the tumor has already metastasized to distant organs. Indeed, around 50% of the patients with PDAC have metastases synchronous to the diagnosis. The most common metastatic location of PDAC is the liver, the peritoneum and the lungs. PDAC-related bladder metastases (BM) are very infrequent and may mimic primary urothelial malignancies. We report here a case of a patient with metastatic PDAC who developed asymptomatic BM two years after the initial diagnosis, and provide a comprehensive literature review of similar cases.

**CASE REPORT**

A Seventy-six-year-old woman was referred in October 2015 for abdominal pain. She had a medical history of right ovarian benign cysts, negligible alcohol consumption and limited tobacco use interrupted 20 years ago. A computed tomography (CT) of the abdomen revealed a mass in the area of the body of the pancreas with synchronous pulmonary and peritoneal nodules. Endoscopic ultrasonography with biopsy was performed and pathological examination revealed well-differentiated PDAC. She was treated by chemotherapy which consisted in FOLFIRINOX regimen. This treatment started on November 2015, oxaliplatin was interrupted on April 2016 because of neurotoxicity and stable disease. She then received 5-fluorouracile only from October 2016 because of stable disease. Tumor evolution was assessed by CT-scan every 3 months, and was always stable.

On September 2017, she had received a total of 42 chemotherapy cycles. A new reevaluation CT-scan revealed an enhanced lesion of the posterolateral wall of the bladder, measuring 11 mm (Figure 1a). No pancreatic, pulmonary or peritoneal progression was present on this CT-scan comparing to the pretreatment imaging, indicating the absence of disease progression. Moreover, the patient was still in excellent general condition (ECOG...
0) and did not have any clinical sign of disease progression. Retrospectively, this lesion was already present but was not noticed on the CT-scan of January 2017 (Figure 1b). We observed that this lesion had grown slowly from 3 mm to 11 mm. The patient had no history of urinary disease and no urinary symptom. Cystoscopy was performed and revealed a unique 12-mm lesion of the left lateral bladder wall, distant from left ureter with no associated urothelial lesion. This lesion was removed by transurethral resection and its pathological analysis showed an adenocarcinoma invading the muscularis propria with lymphovascular invasion (Figure 2a). Its morphological features were compatible with those of the PDAC, including mucosecretion as demonstrated with Alcian blue staining (Figure 2b) and MUC1 expression (Figure 2c). None of the previous CT-scans showed peritoneal carcinomatosis close to the bladder. The final diagnosis retained was BM from PDAC. After discussion at oncological multidisciplinary meeting, the same treatment was continued given the absence of disease progression elsewhere, the excellent general condition and tolerance of the treatment. Six months after the resection of the BM, the patient was still stable with unremarkable clinical examination.

**DISCUSSION**

BM from PDAC is very rare, with unknown prevalence. Because of the intimate anatomical relationship of the kidney and the pancreas, the involvement of the urinary tract is not uncommon in PDAC. Cases of urinary lesions were previously described, such as ureteral obstruction, kidney mass, or renal compression which accounted for 10/25, 5/25, and 10/25 patients respectively, in the
Figure 2. Pathologic examination of the bladder nodule. Infiltration of the muscularis propria of the bladder by adenocarcinoma (a). Hematoxylin-Phloxine-Saffron, 100X) with evidence of mucosecretion (b). Alcian blue, 200X) and membranous and cytoplasmic expression of MUC1 (c). anti-MUC1 antibody, 100X).

Table 1. Literature review of all published cases of PDAC-associated bladder metastasis.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Case reports</th>
<th>Gender, age</th>
<th>Tobacco use</th>
<th>BM location</th>
<th>Urinary symptoms</th>
<th>PDAC location</th>
<th>Other metastatic sites</th>
<th>Chronology with initial PDAC diagnosis</th>
<th>Context of progression</th>
<th>Treatment</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Kiefer et al. [11]</td>
<td>Man, 60</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>Hematuria</td>
<td>Tail</td>
<td>Intestinal tract, peritoneum</td>
<td>Synchronous</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kawahara et al. [3]</td>
<td>Man, 65</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>Dysuria</td>
<td>Body</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2 months</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>NR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chiang et al. [6]</td>
<td>Woman, 64</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>Right posterior wall</td>
<td>Hematuria</td>
<td>Head</td>
<td>Peritoneum, liver</td>
<td>Synchronous</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>Surgical resection and then palliative radiation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lavelle et al. [14]</td>
<td>Woman, 84</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Posterior wall</td>
<td>Hematuria</td>
<td>Head</td>
<td>Liver, lungs, spine, umbilicus</td>
<td>6 years after</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Palliative treatment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Petrides et al. [12]</td>
<td>Woman, 80</td>
<td>NR</td>
<td>Posterior wall</td>
<td>Hematuria</td>
<td>Head</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>4 years after</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Endoscopic resection, dexamethasone and pelvic radiation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cellini et al. [13]</td>
<td>Woman, 52</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Posterior wall</td>
<td>Hematuria</td>
<td>Tail</td>
<td>Peritoneum</td>
<td>21 months after</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Endoscopic resection</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shah et al. [15]</td>
<td>Woman, 66</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Dome</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>Head</td>
<td>Peritoneum</td>
<td>Synchronous</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>Endoscopic resection, chemotherapy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Present case</td>
<td>Woman, 76</td>
<td>Yes, past</td>
<td>Left posterolateral wall</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>Body</td>
<td>Lungs, peritoneum</td>
<td>2 years after</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>Endoscopic resection</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

NR not reported

restrospective series by Warden et al. [2] and 5/10 and 4/10 patients respectively in the retrospective study by Kawahara et al. (no case of renal compression) [3]. However, BM are even more exceptional. In two necropsy series, BM accounted for 2/609 cases and 1/96 cases respectively [4, 5]. Moreover, in the literature, from 1951 to 1980, around ten cases of bladder metastasis have been reported through series, with no precise case description although urinary symptoms seemed unfrequent (1/15 patient presented with hematuria in the review by Chiang et al.) [6, 7, 8, 9, 10]. We reported 7 cases of PDAC-associated BM summarized in Table 1 [3, 6, 11, 12, 13, 14, 15]. The majority was women and had a unique pathologically-proven BM mostly located in the posterior wall of the bladder, one was located on the dome. Six patients had urinary symptoms, especially hematuria. Excepted in two patients who had isolated BM [3, 12], PDAC was associated with other metastatic locations, the most frequent one being peritoneum. This raises the question whether those BM might be, in fact, peritoneal carcinomatosis invading the bladder. However, in our case, the patient had no pelvic carcinomatosis and there was no aspect of extrinsic compression during
cystoscopy. Another diagnostic issue was making the distinction between BM and urinary primary tumor, notably because both PDAC and urothelial carcinoma occur in patients with tobacco use. This may be ruled out by pathological examination, as bladder adenocarcinoma is very rare. Additional immuno-histochemical analyses, including MUC-1 immunostaining, were useful.

CONCLUSION

In summary, PDAC-associated BM is very rare but must be suspected in patients with PDAC and unique bladder tumor.
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