Overview of Pancreas Transplantation

Farhan Asghar¹, Hong Zhu²

¹Division of Hepatopancreaticobillary Surgery, ²Kunming Medical University, Kunming, Yunnan, China

ABSTRACT

According to global report on diabetes by world health organization 1.5 million deaths were directly caused by diabetes in 2012. Pancreas transplantation is the best known treatment for diabetes. Purpose of this review is to give an overview of pancreas transplantation and after a detailed introduction, develop a basic understanding of pancreas transplantation. An overview of the related literature will be assessed and a brief history of pancreas transplantation along with three types of pancreas transplantation (SPKT, PAK, PTA), will be presented. We will elucidate the lectors on how surgical procedure for procurement of pancreatic graft is carried out simultaneously with other organs, in three steps. In the recipient part we describe exorrine drainage of pancreatic graft systemic-bladder technique and systemic enteric technique (surgical procedure with different advantages and disadvantages briefly described in the article). While for endocrine drainage there will be presented two widely used techniques and systemic enteric and portal enteric. Immunosuppression for pancreas transplantation induction and maintenance therapy are recently the most used ones. Corticosteroids and steroid free management are under debate in recent years. In 50 years of transplant history there is no gold standard immunosuppression regimen. Although outcomes of pancreas transplantation improved in last decades, there is a decline in number of transplantation. This review is helpful to make a basic understanding of pancreas transplantation for new surgeons.

INTRODUCTION

According to global report on diabetes by world health organization 1.5 million deaths were directly caused by diabetes in 2012 [1]. More than 8% of total health budget is spent on diabetes [2]. Beside this secondary complications from diabetes involve a number of micro and macro vascular complications such are diabetic nephropathy, neuropathy, and retinopathy [3, 4, 5]. End stage renal disease is one of the most significant complication from diabetes [6]. Data received from 54 countries suggested that at least 80% cases of end-stage renal disease (ERSD) are because of diabetes, hypertension or a combination of both hypertension and diabetes [7]. Although after the discovery of insulin in 1922, prognosis of diabetes was shifted from lethal to a chronic disease, still it just delays the progression of secondary complications. Today pancreas transplantation is the most reliable treatment to establish normal glucose levels [8] in patients with type 1 diabetes [9, 10, 11]. Improve quality of life by restoring endogenous insulin secretion and prevention from secondary complications [12, 13, 14]. In the end, it also

Received November 23rd, 2017 - Accepted March 14th, 2018 **Keywords** Diabetes Mellitus; Pancreas; Transplantation **Abrreviations** IQR interquartile range; SPN solid pseudopapillary neoplasm **Correspondence** Hong Zhu Division of Hepatopancreatic and billary surgery Second affiliated hospital of Kunming Medical University (KMU) 112 kunrui road , Kunming , Yunnan , China **Tel** + 008618487327303 **Fax** + 008613078755609 **E-mail** zhhong519@163.com minimize the risk of hypoglycemia in patients with type 1 diabetes [15].

History

Pancreas transplantation was for the first time performed in December 1966 by William Kelly and Richard Lilleheiat the university of Minnesota, where they transplanted pancreas along with kidney to treat a diabetic uremic female patient and managed to get rid from exogenous insulin for 6 days and later this transplant was rejected [16]. In China pancreas transplantation was performed for the first time on the 22nd of December 1982 [17].

With the improvements in immunosuppressive treatment, surgical technique and preservation of organs, in the successive 1980's period, there was a significant improvement in pancreas transplantation resulting in an increase in the number of transplants [18, 19].

Methods of Pancreas Transplantation

There are 3 methods of solid organ and pancreas transplantation. The first and most performed is where pancreas transplantation is carried out at the same time with kidney, also known as simultaneous pancreas and kidney transplantation (SPK). It is a long surgical procedure. It is treatment of choice for the patients with type 1 diabetes suffering from end stage renal disease [20]. Basic reason for SPKT being common is that the patients are already on immunosuppressive therapy along with the fact that a minor risk of surgical procedure addition benefit can be obtained. Pancreas transplantation after kidney (PAK) is another way for pancreas transplantation where a pancreatic allograft is transplanted after kidney transplantation [21]. This method is highly recommended for diabetic patients having successful kidney transplant [22]. With this procedure patient have to go through surgical risk twice.

Pancreas transplantation alone (PTA) is the third recommended procedure for pancreas transplantation, where just pancreas transplantation alone is showing good results [23]. This is the least performed method of pancreatic transplantation but recently coming with good results. Pancreatic islet cell transplantation is another option in practice for the treatment of type one diabetes [24].

Overall percentage of pancreas transplantations performed is 80% SPK, 15% PAK while PTA are 5% [25].

SURGICAL TECHNIQUE

Donor

Procurement of pancreatic graft is done along with other multiple intra-abdominal organs transplantation. This surgery is executed with a unique technique along with liver en bloc [26]. Performed in three steps, first of all dissection is done with intact circulation, then organs are cooled in situ with IV transfusion and heparinized (after that liver and pancreata are recovered together while intestines are removed separately) [27, 28]. In the third step organs are prepared for transplantation on back table surgery pancreato-duodenal graft is isolated by removing spleen, (for pancreatic exocrine secretions) and the duodenal segment shortened. What will follow is the suture and invagination of duodenal borders, mobilization of portal vein and vascular Y graft reconstruction. After removal, pancreata and other vascular grafts must be immersed in Belzer-UW solution.

Recipient

Exocrine drainage of pancreatic graft is always a debate between transplant surgeons and this lead to a large number of variations in the expletation of the surgey, all over the years.

Surgical aspect of recipient: There are two techniques for the pancreas exocrine secretions named: systemic-bladder technique and systemic-enteric technique for portal venous delivery of insulin. Enteric drainage of exocrine secretions come up with another technique called portal-enteric technique [29].

Bladder drainage of exocrine secretions systemic bladder technique was very common during 1980s [30]. With this technique donor duodenum is anastomosed to viseral dome by using a 2 layered hand sew dn technique or a circular stapled [31]. This technique is safe, sterile and easy to perform. There are two major advantages of this technique. First one is that, bladder-duodenal anastomosis leakage can be managed by urinary catheter [32] and urinary amylase. Second one, that Insulin can be measured as an important indication for early graft rejection [33, 34]. On the other hand there are also a number of complications like reflux pancreatitis, urinary tract infection and haematuria, all associated with bladder drainage due to bicarbonates lose [35].

Enteric Drainage of Exocrine Secretions

With this technique duodenum of donor is anastomosed with bowel of recipient (proximal jejunum or distal ileum). Gastric and duodenal drainage are also used [36, 37, 38]. Anastomosis is stapled in a linear fashion [39], with a circular device [40] or hand sewn. A number of urinary tract complications were reported with bladder drainage caused by non-physiological drainage of pancreatic enzymes into the urinary tract [41]. Surgeons report enteric drainage much safer as compared to bladder drainage [42, 43].

To manage the endocrine drainage there are two widely used techniques: systemic enteric and portal enteric drainage. Portal drainage is mostly used with enteric exocrine drainage. Donor portal vein and recipient splenic vein are end to side anastomosed [44]; distal splenic vein of donor and portal vein of recipient with bladder drainage [45], or draining transplanted pancreas converge directly in mesenteric vein [46]. Portal venous drainage is said to be more physiological whereas enteric drainage is associated with hyperinsulinemia [47]. Different studies showed same long term and short term outcomes of pancreatic transplantation with portal venous drainage and enteric venous drainage [48, 49]. Systemic venous drainage is the most commonly used technique in America [50].

Immunosuppression

Regarding immunosuppression for pancreatic transplantation, induction [51] and maintenance therapy [52] are most commonly used recently. Anti lymphocyte serum such as daclizumab (anti CD25 (interleukin-2 receptor) monoclonal antibody) and basiliximab are used for induction therapy. While prednisone (corticosteroid), mycophenolate mofetil (anti metabolite), and tacrolimus (calcineurin inhibitor) are used for maintenance therapy.

SRTR data show that trend for induction therapy has shifted towards T-cell depleting induction agents, such as alemtuzumab and thymoglobulin instead of interleukin-2 receptor antagonist like basiliximab [53]. Corticosteroids management and steroid free immunosuppression has been at the center of the debate, in recent years. As corticosteroids are major cause for post-transplant,hyperglycemia, hyperlipidemia and hypertension increase cardiovascular risk in recipient [54]. UNSO database showed that there is an higher evolving risk of infectious complications with steroid maintenance therapy as compared to steroid free therapy [55]. In 2000 mTOR inhibitors were introduced for immunosuppression of pancreatic transplantation [56], such as Everolimus and sirolimus ,both found to be effective and SPKT particularly to avoid renal complications and an alternative for MMF to reduce complications caused by MMF such as leucopenia

and GI toxicity. Costimulatory blockade agents like Belatacept are least for immunosuppression of pancreatic graft recipient. In the 50-year-history of pancreas transplantation, researchers were unable establish a gold immunosuppressive regimen standard.

Complications

Technical failure is the primary complication for a graft failure within the first three months after transplantation, vascular thrombosis account for half of the pancreatic graft lose [57] that is: 50%, pancreatitis 20%, infections 18%, fistula 6.5% Bleeding accounts for 2.4% for total pancreatic graft failure [58]. The risk factors associated with surgical complications are: age factor(aging more than 45 for donor or recipient) and cold ischemia lasting more than 24 hours [59]. Medical history of recipient also play an important role in survival of pancreatic graft. About 10-25% pancreatic transplant with bladder drainage of exocrine secretions need to be converted to enteric drainage [60]. Enteric drainage(intestinal leakage) is one of the significant postoperative complication observed in 5-8% of graft, treated by removal of pancreatic graft [61]. Even though there are advancements in immunosuppression and antibiotic therapy, infections still are the major cause for mortality and morbidity in pancreas transplantation. An early detection of infectious agent and etiology of infection can be helpful to improve graft survival.

Scope

Outcomes of pancreas transplantation improved in last five decades but there was a slight decline in number of transplants after 2004 [62, 63]. Five year patient survival rates for all three groups are approximately 90% and for ten years 70%. Insulin independence for 5 years is 73% in SPK, 64% in PAK and 53% in PAT. Half life of pancreatic graft is 10-15 years. Therefore a lot of work is needed to be done on pancreas transplantation. Increase the number of transplantation centers should be the urge of the authorities, as until now pancreas transplantation in limited to a few centers and only upcoming surgeons are trained to perform the surgery. Especially in Asia and developing countries all over the world. As diabetes data suggest, there is a huge gap to be filled between large number of patients and lack of surgical skills as well as scarcity of transplantation centers. Although liver and kidney transplantation are very common but pancreas transplantation is limited o to some countries and a few centers.

CONCLUSION

Pancreas transplantation is the most effective treatment for diabetes. Better surgical method can be chosen to minimize the surgical complications with enteric or bladder drainage. Advancement in immunosuppression improved life after transplantation. There is an improved quality of life in uremic diabetic patient.

Acknowledgement

This study is supported by research grants from the National Natural Science Foundation of China (NO. 81460132),Yunnan Natural Science Foundation of China (NO. 2013FB161).

Conflict of interest

Authors report no conflicts of interest.

References

1. http://apps.who.int/healthinfo/statistics/mortality/causeofdeath_ query/, accessed 12 January 2016.

2. Collins AJ, Foley R, Herzog C, Chavers B, Gilbertson D, Ishani A, et al. Excerpts from the United States Renal Data System 2007 annual data report. Am J Kidney Dis 2008; 51:S1–S320. [PMID: 18086389]

3. Fowler MJ. Microvascular and macrovascular complications of diabetes. Clin Diabetes 2008; 26:77-82.

4. Fong DS, Aiello L, Gardner TW, King GL, Blankenship G, Cavallerano JD, et al. Retinopathy in diabetes. Diabetes Care 2004; 27(suppl 1):s84-7. [PMID: 14693935]

5. Keenan HA, Costacou T, Sun JK, Doria A, Cavellerano J, Coney J, et al. Clinical factors associated with resistance to microvascular complications in diabetic patients of extreme disease duration. Diabetes Care 2007; 30:1995-7. [PMID: 17507696]

6. Gross JL, De Azevedo MJ, Silveiro SP, Canani LH, Caramori ML, Zelmanovitz T. Diabetic nephropathy: diagnosis, prevention, and treatment. Diabetes care 2005; 28:164-76. [PMID: 15616252]

7. United States Renal Data System. International Comparisons. In United States Renal Data System. 2014 USRDS annual data report: Epidemiology of kidney disease in the United States. Bethesda (MD): National Institutes of Health, National Institute of Diabetes and Digestive and Kidney Diseases; 2014:188–210.

8. Diabetes Control and Complications Trial Research Group. The effect of intensive treatment of diabetes on the development and progression of long-term complications in insulin-dependent diabetes mellitus. N Engl J Med 1993; 329:977-86. [PMID: 8366922]

9. Sutherland DE, Gruessner RW, Dunn DL, Matas AJ, Humar A, Kandaswamy R, et al. Lessons learned from more than 1,000 pancreas transplants at a single institution. Ann Surg 2001; 233:463-501. [PMID: 11303130]

10. Mittal S, Gough SC. Pancreas transplantation: a treatment option for people with diabetes. Diabet Med 2014; 31:512-21. [PMID: 24313883]

11. White SA, Shaw JA, Sutherland DE. Pancreas transplantation. The Lancet 2009; 373:1808-17. [PMID: 19465236]

12. Mauer M, Fioretto P. Pancreas transplantation and reversal of diabetic nephropathy lesions. Med Clin North Am 2013; 97:109-14. [PMID: 23290733]

13. Nathan DM, Fogel H, Norman D, Russell PS, Tolkoff-Rubin N, Delmonico FL, et al. Long-term metabolic and quality of life results with pancreatic/renal transplantation in insulin-dependent diabetes mellitus. Transplantation 1991; 52:85-90. [PMID: 1858159]

14. Stratta RJ, Shokouh-Amiri MH, Egidi MF, Grewal HP, Kizilisik AT, Gaber LW, et al. Simultaneous kidney-pancreas transplant with systemicenteric versus portal-enteric drainage. Transplant Proc 2001; 33:1661-2. [PMID: 11267459]

15. Paty BW, Ryan EA, Shapiro AJ, Lakey JR, Robertson RP. Intrahepatic islet transplantation in type 1 diabetic patients does not restore hypoglycemic hormonal counterregulation or symptom recognition after insulin independence. Diabetes 2002; 51:3428-34. [PMID: 12453896]

16. Kelly WB, Lillehei RC, Merkel FK, Idezuki Y, Goetz FC. Allotransplantation of the pancreas and duodenum along with the kidney in diabetic nephropathy. Transplantation 1968; 6:145. [PMID: 5338113]

17. Chen S, Xia SS, Tang JZ, Qin XF. Segmental pancreas allograft: A report of one case surviving more than one year with functioning graft. J Tongji Med Univ 1986; 6:151-5. [PMID: 3534278]

18. Gruessner AC, Sutherland DE. Pancreas transplant outcomes for United States (US) and non-US cases as reported to the United Network for Organ Sharing (UNOS) and the International Pancreas Transplant Registry (IPTR) as of June 2004. Clin Transplant 2005; 19:433-55. [PMID: 16008587]

19. Kandaswamy R, Stock PG, Skeans MA, Gustafson SK, Sleeman EF, Wainright JL, et al. OPTN/SRTR 2011 annual data report: pancreas. Am J Transplant 2013; 13:47-72. [PMID: 23237696]

20. Jiang AT, Rowe N, Sener A, Luke P. Simultaneous pancreas-kidney transplantation: The role in the treatment of type 1 diabetes and end-stage renal disease. Can Urol Assoc J 2014; 8:135-8. [PMID: 24839485]

21. Larson TS, Bohorquez H, Rea DJ, Nyberg SL, Prieto M, Sterioff S, et al. Pancreas-after-kidney transplantation: an increasingly attractive alternative to simultaneous pancreas-kidney transplantation. Transplantation 2004; 77:838-43. [PMID: 15077023]

22. Robertson RP, Davis C, Larsen J, Stratta R, Sutherland DE. Pancreas and islet transplantation for patients with diabetes. Diabetes Care 2000; 23:112-6. [PMID: 10857979]

23. Gruessner RW, Gruessner AC. Pancreas transplant alone: a procedure coming of age. Diabetes Care 2013; 36:2440-7. [PMID: 23881967]

24. Korsgren O, Nilsson B, Berne C, Felldin M, Foss A, Kallen R, et al. Current status of clinical islet transplantation. Transplantation 2005; 79:1289-93. [PMID: 15912090]

25. Clatworthy M, Watson C, Allison M, Dark J. Transplantation at a Glance. John Wiley & Sons; 2012.

26. Abu-Elmagd K, Fung J, Bueno J, Martin D, Madariaga JR, Mazariegos G, et al. Logistics and technique for procurement of intestinal, pancreatic, and hepatic grafts from the same donor. Ann Surg 2000; 232:680-7. [PMID: 11066140]

27. Imagawa DK, Olthoff KM, Yersiz H, Shackleton CR, Colquhoun SD, Shaked A, et al. Rapid en bloc technique for pancreas-liver procurement. Improved early liver function. Transplantation 1996; 61:1605-9. [PMID: 8669105]

28. Starzl TE, Miller C, Broznick B, Makowka L. An improved technique for multiple organ harvesting. Surg Gynecol Obstet 1987; 165:343. [PMID: 3310285]

29. Rogers J, Farney AC, Orlando G, Farooq U, Al-Shraideh Y, Stratta RJ. Pancreas transplantation with portal venous drainage with an emphasis on technical aspects. Clin Transplant 2014; 28:16-26. [PMID: 24410731]

30. Hakim NS, Gruessner AC, Papalois BE, Troppmann C, Dunn DL, Sutherland DE, et al. Duodenal complications in bladder-drained pancreas transplantation. Surgery 1997; 121:618-24. [PMID: 9186461]

31. Pescovitz MD, Dunn DL, Sutherland DE. Use of the circular stapler in construction of the duodenoneocystostomy for drainage into the bladder in transplants involving the whole pancreas. Surg Gynecol Obstet 1989; 169:169-71. [PMID: 2667177]

32. Nath DS, Gruessner A, Kandaswamy R, Gruessner RW, Sutherland DE, Humar A. Late anastomotic leaks in pancreas transplant recipients– clinical characteristics and predisposing factors. Clin Transplant 2005; 19:220-4. [PMID: 15740558]

33. Radio SJ, Stratta RJ, Taylor RJ, Linder J. The utility of urine cytology in the diagnosis of allograft rejection after combined pancreas-kidney transplantation. Transplantation 1993; 55:509-16. [PMID: 8384382]

34. Monroy-Cuadros M, Salazar A, Yilmaz S, McLaughlin K. Bladder vs. enteric drainage in simultaneous pancreas-kidney transplantation. Nephrol Dial Transplant 2006; 21:483-7. [PMID: 16286430]

35. Pirsch JD, Odorico JS, D'alessandro AM, Knechtle SJ, Becker BN, Sollinger HW. Posttransplant infection in enteric versus bladder-drained simultaneous pancreas-kidney transplant recipients. Transplantation 1998; 66:1746-50. [PMID: 9884271]

36. Shokouh-Amiri H, Zakhary JM, Zibari GB. A novel technique of portalendocrine and gastric-exocrine drainage in pancreatic transplantation. J Am Coll Surg 2011; 212:730-8. [PMID: 21463823]

37. Linhares MM, Beron RI, Gonzalez AM, Tarazona C, Salzedas A, Rangel E, et al. Duodenum–Stomach Anastomosis: a New Technique for Exocrine Drainage in Pancreas Transplantation. J Gastrointest Surg 2012; 16:1072-5. [PMID: 22258867]

38. De Roover A, Detry O, Coimbra C, Squifflet JP, Honoré P, Meurisse M. Exocrine pancreas graft drainage in recipient duodenum through side-toside duodeno-duodenostomy. Transpl Int 2008; 21:707. [PMID: 18435679]

39. Lam VW, Wong K, Hawthorne W, Ryan B, Lau H, Robertson P, et al. The linear cutting stapler for enteric anastomosis: a new technique in pancreas transplantation. Transpl Int 2006; 19:915-8. [PMID: 18435679]

40. Fridell JA, Milgrom ML, Henson S, Pescovitz MD. Use of the end-to-end anastamotic circular stapler for creation of the duodenoenterostomy for enteric drainage of the pancreas allograft. J Am Coll Surg 2004; 198:495-7. [PMID: 15008165]

41. Sollinger HW, Messing EM, Eckhoff DE, Pirsch JD, D'alessandro AM, Kalayoglu M, et al. Urological complications in 210 consecutive simultaneous pancreas-kidney transplants with bladder drainage. Ann Surg 1993; 218:561. [PMID: 8215647]

42. Kuo PC, Johnson LB, Schweitzer EJ, Bartlett ST. Simultaneous pancreas/kidney transplantation--a comparison of enteric and bladder drainage of exocrine pancreatic secretions. Transplantation 1997; 63:238-43. [PMID: 9020324]

43. Bloom RD, Olivares M, Rehman L, Raja RM, Yang S, Badosa F. Long-term pancreas allograft outcome in simultaneous pancreaskidney transplantation: a comparison of enteric and bladder drainage. Transplantation 1997; 64:1689-95. [PMID: 9422403]

44. Rosenlof LK, Earnhardt RC, Pruett TL, Stevenson WC, Douglas MT, Cornett GC, et al. Pancreas transplantation. An initial experience with systemic and portal drainage of pancreatic allografts. Ann Surg 1992; 215:586. [PMID: 1632680]

45. Mühlbacher F, Gnant MF, Auinger M, Steininger R, Klauser R, Prager R, et al. Pancreatic venous drainage to the portal vein: a new method in human pancreas transplantation. Transplant Proc 1990; 22:636-637). [PMID: 2183432]

46. Gaber AO, Shokouh-Amiri H, Grewal HP, Britt LG. A technique for portal pancreatic transplantation with enteric drainage. Surg Gynecol Obstet 1993; 177:417-9. [PMID: 8211589]

47. Diem P, Abid M, Redmon JB, Sutherland DE, Robertson RP. Systemic venous drainage of pancreas allografts as independent cause of hyperinsulinemia in type I diabetic recipients. Diabetes 1990; 39:534-40. [PMID: 2185105]

48. Petruzzo P, Da Silva M, Feitosa LC, Dawahra M, Lefrançois N, Dubernard JM, et al. Simultaneous pancreas-kidney transplantation: portal versus systemic venous drainage of the pancreas allografts. Clin Transplant 2000; 14:287-92. [PMID: 10945198]

49. Bazerbachi F, Selzner M, Marquez MA, Norgate A, Aslani N, McGilvray ID, et al. Portal Venous Versus Systemic Venous Drainage of Pancreas Grafts: Impact on Long-Term Results. Am J Transplant 2012; 12:226-32. [PMID: 22054257]

50. Gruessner AC. 2011 update on pancreas transplantation: comprehensive trend analysis of 25,000 cases followed up over the course of twenty-four years at the International Pancreas Transplant Registry (IPTR). Rev Diabet Stud 2011; 8:6-16. [PMID: 21720668]

51. Niederhaus SV, Kaufman DB, Odorico JS. Induction therapy in pancreas transplantation. Transpl Int 2013; 26:704-14. [PMID: 23672537]

52. Stratta RJ, Alloway RR, Hodge E, Lo A. A multicenter, open-label, comparative trial of two daclizumab dosing strategies vs. no antibody induction in combination with tacrolimus, mycophenolate mofetil, and steroids for the prevention of acute rejection in simultaneous kidney-pancreas transplant recipients: interim analysis. Clin Transplant 2002; 16:60-8. [PMID: 11982617]

53. Kitchens WH, Turgeon NA. Immunosuppression in Pancreas Transplantation: What Has Changed in 20 Years?. Clin Transplant Rep 2016; 3:154-60.

54. Rangel EB. The metabolic and toxicological considerations for immunosuppressive drugs used during pancreas transplantation. Expert Opin Drug Metab Toxicol 2012; 8:1531-48. [PMID: 22978385]

55. Amodu LI, Tiwari M, Levy A, Akerman M, Rehman S, Kressel A, et al. Steroid maintenance is associated with an increased risk of infections but has no effect on patient and graft survival in pancreas transplantation: A retrospective review of the UNOS database. Pancreatology 2015; 15:554-62. [PMID: 26330202]

56. McAlister VC, Gao Z, Peltekian K, Domingues J, Mahalati K, MacDonald AS. Sirolimus-tacrolimus combination immunosuppression. The Lancet 2000; 355:376-7. [PMID: 10665560]

57. Farney AC, Rogers J, Stratta RJ. Pancreas graft thrombosis: causes, prevention, diagnosis, and intervention. Current opinion in organ transplantation 2012; 17:87-92. [PMID: 22186095]

58. Humar A, Ramcharan T, Kandaswamy R, Gruessner RW, Gruessner AC, Sutherland DE. Technical failures after pancreas transplants: why grafts fail and the risk factors—a multivariate analysis. Transplantation 2004; 78:1188-92. [PMID: 15502718]

59. Grewal HP, Garland L, Novak K, Gaber L, Tolley EA, Gaber AO. Risk factors for postimplantation pancreatitis and pancreatic thrombosis in pancreas transplant recipients. Transplantation 1993; 56:609-12. [PMID: 8212156]

60. Stratta RJ. Surgical nuances in pancreas transplantation. Transplant Proc 2005; 37:1291-1293. [PMID: 15848700]

61. Nath DS, Gruessner A, Kandaswamy R, Gruessner RW, Sutherland DE, Humar A. Late anastomotic leaks in pancreas transplant recipientsclinical characteristics and predisposing factors. Clin Transplant 2005; 19:220-4. [PMID: 15740558]

62. Israni AK, Skeans MA, Gustafson SK, Schnitzler MA, Wainwright JL, Carrico RJ, et al. OPTN/SRTR 2012 Annual Data Report: pancreas. Am J Transplant 2014; 14 Suppl 1:45-68. [PMID: 24373167]

63. Ruessner RW, Gruessner AC. The current state of pancreas transplantation. Nat Rev Endocrinol 2013; 9:555-62. [PMID: 23897173]